arXiv:1612.04320v2 [gr-qc] 18 Jan 2017

Some remarks on the Bel-Robinson tensor and gravitational radiation

S. Hacyan

January 19, 2017

Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
A. P. 20-364, Cd. de México, 01000, Mexico.

Abstract

The asymptotic form of the Bel-Robinson tensor in the gravitational radiation-zone is obtained in terms of the mass quadrupole of the source. A comparison is made with the standard formula for the gravitational power emission. The problem of a fully covariant description of gravitational radiation in terms of this tensor is discussed with some detail.

PACS: 04.30.Db; 04.30.Tv
Key words: gravitational waves

1 Introduction

Though the existence of gravitational waves is now well established, a manifestly covariant formulation of the energy density and flux of gravitational radiation is still lacking. The standard approach is based on the definition of the so-called pseudo-tensor of energy-momentum [1, 2]; however, being coordinates dependent, its validity has been the subject of decades of discussions (see Kennefick's book[3] for an historical account). Finally, the discovery of the Hulse-Taylor pulsar[4] came as a dramatic confirmation of the standard formulation. Nevertheless, from the theoretical point of view, a fully

covariant description of gravitational radiation, along the same lines as in electromagnetism, is still an open problem.

An interesting approach is through the definition of the Bel-Robinson tensor (Bel [5]), a fourth rank tensor that has some properties analogous to those of the electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor. The underlying idea is that the Weyl tensor $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, which describes the purely gravitational part of the space-time curvature, is in some sense analogous to the electromagnetic field tensor $f_{\alpha\beta}$. Since the energy-momentum tensor of the latter is a second rank tensor quadratic in $f_{\alpha\beta}$, the analogue of the energy-momentum tensor of the former could be the Bel-Robinson tensor, which is quadratic in $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$. There has been various attempts to relate this tensor to some appropriately defined concept of energy [6, 7, 8]; thus, for instance, Bel [5] proposed a definition of "super-energy" for the gravitational field in terms of the Bel-Robinson tensor, together with a "super-Poynting" vector [6]. However, an application to concrete problems such as gravitational radiation has not been achieved.

In the present paper, we calculate the asymptotic form of the Bel-Robinson tensor in the linear approximation of general relativity, in order to shed some light on its physical significance and compare with standard results. Since energy conservation is related to the existence of a time-like Killing vector, we propose to define a "super-energy-momentum" current in terms of this vector and the Bel-Robinson tensor. In analogy with the electromagnetic case, we find in Section 2 the quantities that can be interpreted as generalizations of the energy density and Poynting vector. In section 3, a comparison is made with electromagnetic radiation and the standard result for gravitational radiation. As discussed in the last section, there are some basic difficulties in relating the present approach to the well tested pseudo-tensor formalism.

2 Basic equations

In the weak field limit, the metric tensor is $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$. Defining $\overline{h}_{\mu\nu} \equiv h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}(\eta_{\rho\sigma}h^{\rho\sigma})$, the metric is related to the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ through the equation[1, 2]

$$\Box\overline{h}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{16\pi G}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{2.1}$$

together with the gauge condition

$$\partial_\mu \overline{h}^{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

In the radiation zone, at large distance r from the source, the solution of Eq. (2.1) has the asymptotic form

$$\overline{h}_{ij} = -\frac{2\pi G}{c^4}\omega^2 F(t,r) M_{ij}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$F(t,r) \equiv \frac{e^{-i\omega t + ikr}}{r} \tag{2.4}$$

and the mass quadrupole is

$$-\omega^2 M_{ij} \equiv \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\int \rho(t,\mathbf{r}) x_i x_j \; dV = 2\int T_{ij} dV \tag{2.5}$$

(this last relation follows from the condition $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$). A sinusoidal time dependence of the source with frequency ω and wave number $k = \omega/c$ is assumed for simplicity.

The time-like components of $\overline{h}_{\alpha\beta}$ can be obtained from the gauge condition (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned} -ik\bar{h}^{a0} + \partial_n \bar{h}^{an} &= 0 \\ k^2 \bar{h}^{00} + \partial^2_{mn}\bar{h}^{mn} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In the radiation zone, $kr \gg 1$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} F(x^\mu) = ik \; n_\alpha F(x^\mu) \; \Big(1 + O(1/kr)\Big), \tag{2.7}$$

where $n^\alpha = (1, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$, and $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{r}/r$. Thus we can set

$$\overline{h}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{2\pi G}{c^4}\omega^2 M_{\alpha\beta}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) F(t,r), \tag{2.8}$$

in the understanding that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{00} &= M_{ij} n^i \hat{n}^j \\ M_{0k} &= -M_{kr} n^r. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Notice, in particular, that $M_{\alpha\beta} n^\beta = 0$ and therefore

$$\partial_\alpha \bar{h}_{\beta\gamma} = ik \; n_\alpha \bar{h}_{\beta\gamma}. \tag{2.10}$$

2.1 Weyl and Bel-Robinson tensors

In the linear approximation of general relativity the Riemann tensor reduces quite generally to

$$R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\gamma\delta} = -2\partial^{[\alpha}\partial_{[\gamma}h^{\beta]}{}_{\delta]}. \tag{2.11}$$

In vacuum, the Ricci tensor is identically zero and the Riemann tensor reduces to the Weyl tensor $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$. The Bel-Robinson tensor $T_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ is defined as

$$T_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = C^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\nu}{}_{\gamma}C_{\mu\beta\nu\delta} + {*C}^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\nu}{}_{\gamma} \ {*C}_{\mu\beta\nu\delta}, \tag{2.12}$$

where ${*C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}C^{\mu\nu}{}_{\gamma\delta}$. It is completely symmetric in its four indices, $T_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = T_{(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta)}$, traceless $T^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$, and divergence-free

$$\nabla^{\delta}T_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

in vacuum.

Using the condition (2.10), it follows from the definition (2.12), with some lengthy but straightforward algebra,

$$T_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{\omega^8}{2c^4}\Big(\frac{2\pi G}{c^4 r}\Big)^2 \ W \ n_\alpha n_\beta n_\gamma n_\delta, \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$W = M^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}M^{\beta}{}_{\alpha}. \tag{2.15}$$

Defining $\bar{M} = M_{nn}$ and the trace-free quadrupole tensor $Q_{ij} = M_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\bar{M}$, we can express W in terms of purely spatial components:

$$W = (Q_{ij}\hat{n}^i\hat{n}^j)^2 - \frac{2}{3}\bar{M}Q_{ij}\hat{n}^i\hat{n}^j - 2Q_{ik}Q_{jk}\hat{n}^i\hat{n}^j + Q_{ij}Q_{ij} + \frac{2}{9}\bar{M}^2. \tag{2.16}$$

3 From electromagnetism to gravitation

Let us compare the electromagnetic and gravitational fields and see how the definition of the energy of the former can be extended to the latter.

3.1 Electromagnetic field

In the dipole approximation, the electromagnetic field $f_{\alpha\beta} = 2\partial_{[\alpha}A_{\beta]}$ is given en terms of the four-vector A_α whose space-like components, in the radiation zone $kr \gg 1$, are

$$\mathbf{A} = -ik \ \mathbf{p}F(t,r), \tag{3.17}$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ is the electric dipole (see, e.g., Jackson [10]). In this approximation, we can set $A_\mu = -ikp_\mu F(t,r)$ in four-dimensional notation, where $p_\mu = (p_0, \mathbf{p})$. Due to the Lorentz condition $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$, we have $n_\mu p^\mu = 0$ and therefore $p_0 = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}$. It then follows that the electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor is

$$T^{\alpha\beta}_{EM} = \frac{k^2}{4\pi r^2}(p_\mu p^\mu) n^\alpha n^\beta,$$

with $p_\mu p^\mu = |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p})|^2$.

If the space-time admits a time-like Killing vector ξ_α such that $\nabla_{(\alpha}\xi_{\beta)} = 0$, the four-vector $J^\alpha = T^{\alpha\beta}_{EM}\xi_\beta$ is conserved if $\nabla_\beta T^{\alpha\beta}_{EM} = 0$, that is $\nabla_\alpha J^\alpha = 0$. In Minkowski space-time, such Killing vector can be simply $\xi^\alpha = (1, \mathbf{0})$ and thus, in the radiation zone, J^0 is the energy density and $\mathbf{J} = cJ^0\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is the Poynting vector. The electromagnetic power emitted by the dipole is $c\int J^0 r^2 d\Omega$.

3.2 Gravitational field

For the gravitational field in vacuum admitting a time-like Killing vector, the four-vector

$$J_\alpha \equiv \lambda' \, T_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \, \xi^\beta \xi^\gamma \xi^\delta$$

is conserved, $\nabla_\alpha J^\alpha = 0$, due to the properties of the Killing vector and the Bel-Robinson tensor; a constant factor λ' has been included for later convenience. Thus, with $\xi^\alpha = (1, \mathbf{0})$, we can interpret J^0 as the "super-energy" density and $\mathbf{J} = cJ^0\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ as the "super-Poynting vector".

The angular integrals over products of the rectangular components of $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ are

$$\int \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \; d\Omega = \frac{4\pi}{3}\delta_{ij}, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\int \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \hat{n}_k \hat{n}_l \; d\Omega = \frac{4\pi}{15}(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}), \tag{3.19}$$

from where it follows that

$$\int W \; d\Omega = 4\pi\Big(\frac{7}{15}Q_{ij}Q_{ij} + \frac{2}{9}\bar{M}^2\Big). \tag{3.20}$$

Accordingly the "super-power" sP radiated is

$$sP = \lambda \frac{G}{c^5}\frac{\omega^8}{4\pi}\int W \; d\Omega, \tag{3.21}$$

redefining $\lambda' = \lambda \; c^6/8\pi^3 G$ for comparison purposes. Observe that sP/λ has dimensions (energy / time^3).

4 Discussion of results

Compare the above formula for sP with the well-known (and tested) standard formula for the total gravitational radiated power [1, 2]:

$$P_{\text{standard}} = \frac{G}{5c^5}\omega^6 Q_{ij}Q_{ij}, \tag{4.22}$$

with dimensions (energy / time) as it should be. The difference (beside the trace $\bar{M}$) is that the super-power is proportional to ω^8 while the standard power is proportional to ω^6. In order for the two quantities to coincide (or at least be proportional), one could choose $\lambda \propto \omega^{-2}$, but then the proportionality factor would not have a universal character since it would depend on the physical parameters of each particular system.

In order to further clarify this point, let us remind how an equivalent problem is treated in electromagnetism. A source in the form of electric charges and currents defines a four-vector $\mathcal{J}^\beta$, and the electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor $T^{\alpha\beta}_{EM}$ is not conserved since

$$\nabla_\beta T^{\alpha\beta}_{EM} = c^{-1} f_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{J}^\beta.$$

On the other hand, the charged particles producing the currents define an energy-momentum tensor $T^{\alpha\beta}_{matter}$ of matter such that

$$\nabla_\beta T^{\alpha\beta}_{matter} = -c^{-1} f_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{J}^\beta$$

due to the Lorentz force on the particles (see Landau and Lifshitz [1], Sect. 33). The net result is that the total energy-momentum tensor, electromagnetic *plus* matter, is conserved.

As for the Bel-Robinson tensor, its divergence does not vanish in the presence of matter. Therefore, in order to relate the emitted super-power to some mechanical properties of a physical system (such as a binary pulsar), an independent definition of *mechanical* super-energy would be required (for instance, for a distribution of point-masses). It should be a definition obtained directly from the *dynamical* equations of motion for massive particles, analogous in general relativity to the Lorentz force equation. No such definition seems to be known, and therefore a fully covariant formalism based on the Bel-Robinson tensor and applicable to practical problems, such as gravitational radiation, is still an open problem.

References

[1] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, 4th ed. (Butterworth-Heinemann, 1980), Sect. 67.

[2] M. Maggiore, *Gravitational Waves* (Oxford, Oxford U. Press, 2008), Vol. 1. Sect. 3.1.

[3] D. Kennefick, *Travelling at the Speed of Thought: Einstein and the Quest for Gravitational Waves* (Princeton U. Press, USA, 2016)

[4] J. H. Taylor and J. M. Weisberg, *Astroph. J.* **253** (1982) 908.

[5] L. Bel, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* **246** (1958) 3105; **247** (1958) 1094; *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **32** (2000) 2047.

[6] D. H. Tchrakian, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **5** (1974) 379; *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **6** (1975) 151.

[7] G. T. Horowitz and B. G. Schmidt, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **381** (1982) 215.

[8] I. Krishnasamy, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **17** (1985) 621.

[9] M. A. G. Bonilla and J. M. M. Senovilla, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **29** (1997) 91.

[10] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics, 3rd Edition* (Wiley, USA, 1998), Sect. 9.2.